

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,585	01/08/2002	Olfa Chetay	Q67992	1441	
75	90 06/16/2005	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			LAU, TUNG S		
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue, NW C 20037-3213	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
<i>5</i> ,			2863		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

	Ma/	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10/038,585	CHETAY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tung S. Lau	2863	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tung S. Lau	2863					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o	n the same day as filing a Notice o	f Appeal. To avoid ab					
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	compliance with 37 (CFR 41.31; or				
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In n event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· 						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See next page.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,585

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 06/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. Applicant argues in the arguments that the prior art does not show the 'electrical switchgear', Drzewiecki discloses 'electrical switchgear' in fig. 5c, unit 44 as well as in fig. 5a, unit 25,16, 26. The examiner reminds to the applicants that during patent examination, the pending claims must be "given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification." Applicant always has the opportunity to amend the claims during prosecution, and broad interpretation by the examiner reduces the possibility that the claim, once issued, will be interpreted more broadly than is justified. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969). While the meaning of claims of issued patents are interpreted in light of the specification, prosecution history, prior art and other claims, this is not the mode of claim interpretation to be applied during examination. During examination, the claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allowed. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

B. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., high-voltage circuit breaker as electrical switchgear equipment) are

Art Unit: 2863

not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

- C. Applicant continues to argue in the arguments that the prior art does not show 'non-intrusively', Drzewiecki discloses 'non-intrusively' in fig. 5a, unit 20. according to Merriam-Webster's dictionary tenth edition, 2001, intrusive means act of intruding, and intruding mean to thrust oneself in without invitation, permission. in fig. 5a, unit 20 of Drzewiecki invention is not intruding, therefore, Drzewiecki discloses 'non-intrusively' in fig. 5a, unit 20. reminds the applicants, although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- **D**. Applicant continues to argue in the arguments that the prior art does not show 'gas acts as insulation in a switch gear', Drzewiecki discloses 'gas acts as insulation in a switch gear' in fig. 5a, unit 20, 25.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

Application/Control Number: 10/038,585

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL

Joseph Jan 1983

Page 4